

نویسنده مسوول: عبدالحی ظفری

تاریخ دریافت: ۳/۹/۱۴۰۴

تاریخ قبول: ۶/۱۱/۱۴۰۴

تاریخ نشر: ۳۰/۱۱/۱۴۰۴

ژورنال بین المللی فرهنگ پوهنتون تخار

Research Article



The Impact of Age on Second Language Acquisition

پوهندوی عبدالحی ظفری و نامزد پوهنیار محمدیاسین هوشمند

عضو کادر علمی دیپارتمنت زبان و ادبیات انگلیسی پوهنهی زبان و ادبیات

ایمیل: ab.zafari@gmail.com

The Impact of Age on Second Language Acquisition

Abstract

Age is a critical factor in second language acquisition (SLA), influencing not only the level of proficiency of learners but also the strategies they employ during the learning process. This paper examines how age affects different components of language learning such as pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, and motivation drawing on the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH) and contemporary research findings. Evidence suggested that younger learners are more likely to develop their pronunciations and grammatical structures like native speakers, whereas older learners typically rely on explicit learning strategies supported by advanced cognitive skills. This study also emphasized the significant role of social context and motivation, showing that age interacts with individual characteristics and environmental factors. Age may confer certain advantages to younger learners, while older learners bring different benefits to the learning process.

Keywords: Age, cognitive, explicit, implicit, older, SLA and younger

چکیده

سن یکی از عوامل مهم در یادگیری زبان دوم (SLA) است که نه تنها بر سطح مهارت زبان آموزان تأثیر می‌گذارد، بلکه بر راهبردهایی که آنان در جریان فرایند یادگیری به کار می‌برند نیز اثرگذار است. این مقاله بررسی می‌کند که سن چگونه بر بخش‌های مختلف یادگیری زبان مانند تلفظ، دستور زبان، واژگان و انگیزه تأثیر می‌گذارد و در این بررسی از فرضیه دوره بحرانی (CPH) و یافته‌های پژوهش‌های معاصر استفاده شده است. شواهد نشان می‌دهد که زبان آموزان کم‌سن بیشتر احتمال دارد تلفظ و ساختارهای دستوری خود را به شیوه‌ای مشابه گویندگان بومی زبان توسعه دهند، در حالی که زبان آموزان بزرگسال معمولاً به راهبردهای یادگیری صریح تکیه می‌کنند که با مهارت‌های شناختی پیشرفته پشتیبانی می‌شود. این پژوهش همچنین بر نقش مهم بافت اجتماعی و انگیزه تأکید می‌کند و نشان می‌دهد که سن با ویژگی‌های فردی و عوامل محیطی تعامل دارد. اگرچه سن می‌تواند برخی مزیت‌ها را برای زبان آموزان جوان فراهم کند، زبان آموزان بزرگسال نیز مزایای متفاوتی را به فرایند یادگیری می‌آورند.

کلمات کلیدی: سن، شناختی، صریح، ضمنی، بزرگسالان، یادگیری زبان دوم (SLA) و زبان آموزان جوان

Introduction

Age has long been regarded as one of the most influential variables in SLA. Empirical research demonstrates that younger learners often acquire languages more naturally, frequently attaining native-like pronunciation and implicit grammatical competence. In contrast, older learners generally depend on conscious learning strategies, such as explicit grammar instruction and targeted vocabulary memorization. Understanding the role of age in SLA is essential for educators, as it enables them to design pedagogical approaches that align with the distinct cognitive, social, and motivational profiles of learners across age groups. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between age and SLA, with a focus on identifying strategies to optimize language learning outcomes for learners of all ages.

Literature Review

Introduction to Age and SLA

Research on second language acquisition (SLA) has consistently highlighted age as a central factor influencing language learning outcomes. Scholars argued that age affects not only the level of ultimate attainment but also the strategies and processes learners employ (Ellis, 2015). While children are often assumed to acquire languages more naturally, adults bring cognitive and metalinguistic advantages to the learning process (Singleton & Ryan, 2004).

The Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH)

A dominant framework in discussions of age and SLA is the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH). Lenneberg (1967) originally mentioned that there is a biologically determined window during which language acquisition occurs most effectively. Johnson and Newport's (1989) study demonstrated that learners who began acquiring English before puberty achieved higher grammatical accuracy than those who started later. However, more recent work challenges the absolute nature of the CPH, suggesting a more gradual decline in language learning ability rather than a strict cut-off (Hakuta, Bialystok, & Wiley, 2003).

Age and Phonological Acquisition

Many researchers agree that younger learners have an easier time sounding more like native speakers when they learn a new language (Lenneberg, 1967; Flege, 1999; Singleton & Ryan, 2004). This advantage is often linked to the Critical Period Hypothesis, which suggests that children's brains are especially well-suited for picking up the sounds of a language at an early age. Studies show that phonological systems are more malleable in early childhood due to higher neural plasticity (Birdsong, 2006). Conversely, adult learners often experience fossilization in pronunciation, making it difficult to eliminate foreign accents completely (Birdsong & Molis, 2001).

Age and Grammar Development

The acquisition of grammar shows a more complex picture. Younger learners, especially in immersion contexts, tend to develop grammatical structures implicitly. However, adult learners often outperform children in formal learning environments where explicit instruction is emphasized (DeKeyser, 2012). These findings suggest that age interacts with learning context and type of instruction in determining grammatical success.

Age and Vocabulary Learning

Unlike phonology and grammar, vocabulary acquisition appears less constrained by age. Older learners, with greater cognitive maturity and existing world knowledge, often learn and retain new lexical items more effectively (Muñoz, 2008). However, long-term vocabulary mastery may still be tied to opportunities for extensive exposure, which younger learners may benefit from in naturalistic environments.

Cognitive and Affective Factors

Cognitive development plays a crucial role in how age impacts SLA. Younger learners rely more on implicit memory and pattern recognition, whereas adults depend on explicit learning strategies (Ellis, 2015). Additionally, affective factors such as motivation, anxiety, and self-confidence differ across age groups. Adults often exhibit higher motivation but may struggle with performance anxiety, while children generally display lower inhibition, which facilitates communicative practice (Snow & Hoefnagel-Höhle, 1978).

Social and Environmental Factors

Environmental input interacts with age in significant ways. For children, immersive environments such as migration to a new linguistic community greatly facilitate acquisition. Adults, however, may require structured instruction and intentional practice to achieve similar outcomes (Larsen-Freeman & Long, 2014). Peer interaction, family support, and quality of exposure are therefore essential variables in assessing the role of age.

Comparative Advantages of Younger vs. Older Learners

Overall, younger learners excel in pronunciation and implicit grammar learning, while older learners display strengths in explicit learning, vocabulary acquisition, and metalinguistic awareness (Singleton & Ryan, 2004). Longitudinal studies suggest that ultimate attainment may favor those who begin earlier, but older learners can progress rapidly in the short term, especially with focused instruction (Birdsong, 2006).

Current Perspectives and Research Trends

Recent studies using neurolinguistics tools such as fMRI and ERP provide evidence that age-related differences in SLA correspond to changes in brain organization over time (DeKeyser, 2012). Current research emphasizes a more nuanced view, where age interacts with cognitive, social, and contextual factors rather than serving as a strict determinant of success (Muñoz, 2008).

Summary of Literature

In summary, age plays a significant but multifaceted role in second language acquisition. Evidence strongly supports an advantage for younger learners in pronunciation and long-term attainment, while older learners benefit from cognitive maturity and explicit strategies. The literature also highlights that contextual factors—such as quality of exposure and motivation—mediate the effects of age. Further research is needed to explore how instructional practices can optimize learning across different age groups.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, literature-based research design, synthesizing findings from existing scholarly works rather than collecting new empirical data.

Procedures:

1. **Source Selection:** This review draws on a wide range of peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and empirical studies published over the past three decades, with a broader selection of sources included to better capture the depth and scope of the literature, with an emphasis on works addressing CPH, phonology, grammar acquisition, vocabulary development, cognitive factors, and motivational influences.
2. **Inclusion Criteria:** Studies were included if they examined age-related differences in SLA across various age groups and provided empirical or theoretical evidence relevant to at least one of the core components of language learning.
3. **Data Analysis:** The findings were organized thematically, contrasting the strengths and limitations of younger and older learners, and considering the influence of different linguistic and cultural contexts.
4. **Synthesis:** The evidence was integrated to identify patterns, exceptions, and pedagogical implications.

This methodological approach facilitates a holistic understanding of the multifaceted relationship between age and SLA.

Findings

Key insights derived from the literature review include:

- ✓ **Pronunciation:** Younger learners are more likely to achieve native-like phonology due to neural plasticity during early development.
- ✓ **Grammar:** Children acquire grammar implicitly, while adults rely on explicit instruction and conscious analysis.
- ✓ **Vocabulary:** Adults initially excel in vocabulary learning due to mature cognitive skills but may be surpassed by children in long-term retention.
- ✓ **Motivation and Social Context:** Motivation strongly influences learning outcomes at all ages; immersive environments benefit younger learners, while older learners thrive when goals are clearly defined.
- ✓ **Overall Proficiency:** Age advantages can be offset or enhanced by instructional quality, motivation, and learning environment.

Discussion

The interplay between age and SLA is shaped by biological constraints, cognitive capabilities, and socio-motivational contexts. Younger learners generally excel in phonological acquisition and implicit grammar learning, aligning with CPH predictions. Conversely, older learners demonstrate advantages in explicit learning contexts, where their analytical skills can be applied to grammar study and structured vocabulary learning.

However, ultimate language attainment is not determined by age alone. Motivation, quality of instruction, and exposure to the target language are equally crucial. For example, highly motivated adults in immersive settings can achieve advanced proficiency levels, even if their pronunciation retains non-native features.

These observations underscore the need for differentiated instructional strategies: immersive, communicative, and play-based methods for children, and explicit, goal-oriented, and strategy-based approaches for adults.

Conclusion

Research in second language acquisition consistently shows that younger learners benefit from certain biological and cognitive advantages, particularly in areas such as pronunciation and implicit grammar learning. At the same time, it is important not to underestimate the unique strengths that older learners contribute to the process. Adults often bring valuable qualities such as strategic learning skills, greater self-discipline, and heightened metalinguistic awareness, all of which can enhance their progress in meaningful ways.

Age, therefore, should not be seen as the sole or even the decisive factor in determining success in language learning. Instead, it functions alongside a range of other important influences. Motivation, for example, often shapes the level of persistence and effort a learner invests, while the social context provides opportunities for authentic communication and cultural integration. Similarly, the quality of instruction and the broader learning environment can either support or hinder progress, regardless of the learner's age.

For this reason, effective pedagogy must strike a balance between implicit and explicit methods of teaching. Language instruction should be adapted not only to the learner's stage of cognitive and biological development but also to their personal motivations, learning strategies, and the opportunities available in their social and educational settings. When these factors are carefully considered together, language learning can become a more inclusive and effective process for learners of all ages.

Recommendations

- ✓ Age-Specific Pedagogy: Employ immersive, interactive methods for younger learners; structured, strategy-based instruction for older learners.
- ✓ Motivational Support: Facilitate goal-setting and connect learning content to learners' personal and professional aspirations.
- ✓ Balanced Input: Combine authentic language exposure with targeted instruction across age groups.
- ✓ Individualization: Recognize the interplay of age with factors such as aptitude, personality, and previous linguistic experience.
- ✓ Teacher Training: Provide educators with professional development on age-sensitive SLA strategies.

Limitations

This review is based on existing research, drawing from published journal articles, academic books, and empirical studies, rather than from data collected firsthand by the researcher, which may be influenced by the methodological limitations of the original studies. The findings are also context-dependent, with many studies focusing on specific languages or sociocultural settings. Furthermore, while CPH offers valuable insights, it does not fully account for individual variability in SLA outcomes.

References

- Birdsong, D. (2006). Age and second language acquisition and processing: A selective overview. *Language Learning*, 56(S1), 9–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00353.x>
- Birdsong, D., & Molis, M. (2001). On the evidence for maturational constraints in second-language acquisition. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 44(2), 235–249. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmla.2000.2750>
- DeKeyser, R. M. (2012). Age effects in second language learning: Stepping stones toward better understanding. *Language Learning*, 62(S2), 189–200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2012.00737.x>
- Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Hakuta, K., Bialystok, E., & Wiley, E. (2003). Critical evidence: A test of the critical-period hypothesis for second-language acquisition. *Psychological Science*, 14(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.01415>
- Johnson, J. S., & Newport, E. L. (1989). Critical period effects in second language learning: The influence of maturational state on the acquisition of English as a second language. *Cognitive Psychology*, 21(1), 60–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285\(89\)90003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(89)90003-0)
- Larsen-Freeman, D., & Long, M. H. (2014). *An introduction to second language acquisition research*. Routledge.
- Muñoz, C. (2008). Symmetries and asymmetries of age effects in naturalistic and instructed L2 learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 29(4), 578–596. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amn012>
- Singleton, D., & Ryan, L. (2004). *Language acquisition: The age factor* (2nd ed.). Multilingual Matters.
- Snow, C. E., & Hoefnagel-Höhle, M. (1978). The critical period for language acquisition: Evidence from second language learning. *Child Development*, 49(4), 1114–1128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1128751>.